

Date of decision: 24-7-1996

For Approval and Signature

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice S. K. KESHOTE

1. Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgment?
4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 or any order made thereunder?
5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge?

Smt. Shardaben F. Patel .
Versus
State of Gujarat and another.

None present for the petitioner.
Mr. N. N. Pandya for respondents.

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE S.K.KESHOTE

Date of decision: 24/07/96

ORAL JUDGEMENT

The petitioner has filed this special civil application challenging the order dated 20th September, 1982 by which she has been transferred outside the cadre. The respondents have not filed reply to the special civil application. The petitioner was holding the post of Taluka Development Officer. Under the impugned order the services of the petitioners were ordered to be placed at the disposal of Health and Family Welfare Department for being appointed on the post under Integrated Child Development Scheme.

2. By now the petitioner would have retired from service as she had entered the service in the year 1957. This court, while issuing notice on 1-10-1982, has ordered to maintain status quo. On 26-11-1982 rule was issued and interim relief was ordered to continue. The petitioner was allowed to continue in the cadre for all these years and she would have retired from that post. In view of the fact that by this court status quo was ordered to be maintained way back in 1982, interest of justice will be served if the petition is allowed in terms of the interim relief granted by this Court. Rule made absolute accordingly. No order as to costs.

.....